UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,768	04/19/2005	Tohru Watanabe	81784.0328	6028	
26021 HOGAN & HA	7590 07/07/200 RTSON L.L.P.	8	EXAMINER		
	OF THE STARS		HSU, AMY R		
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/531,76	8	WATANABE, TOHRU				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		AMY HSU		2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation.  The period will apply and with the statute, cause the apple.	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 3/31/2008						
-	_	∏ This action is n	on-final					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
<u>ا</u>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
- 4\⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	) Claim(s) is/are allowed. )							
·	Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election ro	equirement					
		and/or diddidir it	эчиноттотт.					
Applicat	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Ex							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	ı to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) Le of References Cited (PTO-892) Le of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5 Le nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Le no(s)/Mail Date 1/2/2008.	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2622

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/31/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's argument that Claim 1 requires a first and second processing circuit and the first and second processing circuits process the same image data, examiner maintains that Nakajima teaches this limitation. Fig. 2 of Nakajima shows *input image data*, which is the data input to the processing section which is indicated by the single arrows leading from the CCD, reference number 102, into the arrow leading to reference number 130 the preprocessing circuit. This input image data is processed by two separate processing circuits, reference numbers 140 and 160. The claim language is relatively broad and therefore examiner maintains that Nakajima teaches two processing circuits within an image data processing apparatus which process the same image data. Without further limiting or adding more specifics to claim 1, then although Nakajima teaches a brightness signal and color difference signal being input directly to the two processing circuits, it is still the same "input image data" which is coming from the CCD into the preprocessing circuit.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application

by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakajima et al. (US 7068314).

Regarding Claim 1, Nakajima teaches an image data processing apparatus for performing a predetermined signal processing on input image data and generating output data for supplying to an external apparatus (*Fig. 2 shows a signal processing system for a camera taking input image data from an image sensor. The output from the signal processing system inherently is supplied to an apparatus external to the processing apparatus such as a viewfinder or display monitor)*, the image data processing apparatus comprising: a first processing circuit for performing a first signal processing with respect to image data so as to produce a first set of image data (*Fig. 2 reference number 140*); and a second processing circuit for performing with respect to the image data a second signal processing, which is independent from the first signal processing, so as to produce a second set of image data (*Fig. 2 reference number 160*); wherein the first and second processing circuits execute the first and second signal processing in a parallel manner (*Fig. 2 the first process and the second process* 

Art Unit: 2622

circuits are shown in parallel. Fig. 7 also shows the signal coming from the input being split into the two processing circuits in parallel), and output the first and second sets of image data as output data (Fig. 7 arrows out of reference numbers 40 and 60 indicate the output data).

Regarding Claim 2, Nakajima teaches the image data processing apparatus as defined in claim 1, wherein the first set of image data and the second set of image data differ from one another in at least one of bit length, pixel density, or frame rate (*Image data out of the front end circuit, Fig. 2 reference number 103 is digital and one of ordinary skill in the art realizes that the amount of data, or bits necessary for chromatic processing far exceeds the bit length of brightness processing and therefore the image data for the two processes indicated in Fig. 2 differ by bit length.* 

Art Unit: 2622

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-6 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art teaches image processing apparatus with two image processing circuits which process data in parallel for output to an external apparatus. However the prior fails to teach the first processing circuit comprising specifically a first filter to generate luminance data with a gamma corrector and first contour emphasizer, and the second processing circuit having a second filter and second contour emphasizer.

## Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMY HSU whose telephone number is (571)270-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on 571-272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amy Hsu Examiner Art Unit 2622

ARH 6/27/08

/Lin Ye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622